

SECRET

OFFICE OF SENTINEL SERVICES
AIR FORCE
REPORT

Report No: YKI-2894
Local File No: YKI-2894

Office of Origin: KUNMING	Date: 23 February 1945	Period Covered: RE/C37 & RE/C12
Report Made At: Kweiyang	Subject: GERHARD NEUMANN	Status: SECRET
Classification: CONFIDENTIAL	Reference: Report YKI-890 dated 13 Jan. 1945 entitled NEUMANN(?)	

As requested by Kunning, the following was furnished by two members of Air Ground Forces Resources and Technical Staff.

The first source, M/Sgt. Harry Sines states that Neumann's first name is GERHARD. He was born and educated in Germany. NEUMANN frequently mentioned the type of education he received under the Germans and also the methods of instructions. Sgt. HINES said that NEUMANN was a mechanic with the AVG prior to entering the U.S. Army. Due to his work with the AVG, NEUMANN is a close friend of General CHEVYATT. He is a quiet fellow and very seldom enters into conversation. He is bald due to typhus.

The second source, Mr. JAMES MURPHY, states that NEUMANN was exiled from Germany and has been in China for a long time. Prior to joining the AVG, he was an engineer. According to Mr. MURPHY, Neumann never expressed any political sentiments. He is a quiet fellow and seldom engages anyone in conversation. This source also states that he never heard Neumann mention his family relations.

UNDEVELOPED LEADS:

At Kunning. This case is considered to be closed at Kweiyang. The Kunning office may obtain further information from other fellow soldiers of the Air Ground Forces Resource and Technical Staff.

Evaluation: 1-1	Approved by: _____
Distribution: 2 - Washington, D.C. 1 - J-60 1 - Det. 202 2 - Kunning 1 - Kweiyang	Officer in Charge: _____

SECRET

REQUEST FOR MANDATORY REVIEW OF CLASSIFIED MATERIAL IN
CUSTODY OF THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE

1/8/76

Date of Request

Name of Depository: JOHN F. KENNEDY LIBRARY

Address: 360 TRAPELO ROAD

WALTHAM, MA 02154

Phone #: 617-223-7250

I hereby request mandatory review of classified
material (see attached list) in the records/document collection
of National Security Files
(record group or collection)

in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11652,
Section 5 (c).

Name of Requestor: Richard D. Mahoney

Address: 4019 S. Mill Ave.

Tempe, Ariz. 85282

Phone #: _____

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service
Washington, DC 20409

~~SECRET~~



In reply refer to:
BLK-76-260

APR 19 1976

E-76-124

Mr. Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Under the provisions of Section 5(C), Executive Order 11652, a researcher has requested the mandatory classification review of the enclosed document(s) from the holdings of one of the Presidential libraries. Enclosed are copies of the researcher's request and a document list. The identification of the library file which contains the document(s) is given in the document list. If there is any question concerning this request, do not hesitate to contact us.

Please review the document(s) and if they may be declassified, mark them appropriately. If a document should remain classified in the interest of national security, please provide us with the applicable exemption category from Section 5(B) of the Executive order and with a date or event when automatic declassification may be accomplished. We would appreciate return of the document copies with your response.

Sincerely,

EDWIN A. THOMPSON
Director
Records Declassification Division

Enclosures

APR 22 1976

~~SECRET~~



UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ENCLOSURES
Keep Freedom in Your Future With U.S. Savings Bonds

GSA DC 76-7638

26 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee
ATTENTION : [REDACTED] Assistant for Information
SUBJECT : E.O. 11652--Richard D. Mahoney (E 76-124)
REFERENCES : A. Initial Request dated 8 January 1976.
B. GSA Referral Letter w/att. dated
19 April 1976.
C. IPS Reply dated 26 July 1976.
D. Appeal Letter dated 15 August 1976.

1. Summary of Recommendations: Sustain the initial determination to deny the document in toto under provision 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652, as amended. Add provision 5(B)(3).

2. Background:

a. On 8 January 1976, Mr. Richard D. Mahoney requested a mandatory review of two classified CIA documents under provisions of Executive Order 11652 Section 5(C). The documents were in custody of the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in the John F. Kennedy Library.

b. On 19 April 1976, the NARS referred Mr. Mahoney's request with copies of the documents to CIA. The Agency was asked to review the documents. If declassified, they were to be marked appropriately.

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

SECRET

SECRET

If they remained classified, the Agency was requested to cite the applicable exemption category from Section 5(B) of the E.O. and a date when automatic declassification may be accomplished. They requested that the copies of the documents be returned with the Agency's response.

c. On 26 July 1976, IPS wrote to the NARS and returned copies of the documents. Both documents were found to require continued classification under E.O. 11652 Section 5(B). However, a sanitized version of Item #1 was found possible and was enclosed. NARS could advise requester of his right to appeal directly to CIA.

d. On 15 August 1976, Mr. Mahoney wrote to the CIA and appealed the decision to withhold from declassification Item #2 of his request.

3. Recommendations:

a. Tab B-2 contains TDCSDB 3/657,851 dated 12 November 1963. During the initial review, the document was denied in toto to requester under provision 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652, as amended. The document is based on ACL-1832 dated 10 November 1963. I recommend that the initial determination to deny the document to the requester in toto under provision 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652, as amended, be sustained and that provision 5(B)(3) of E.O. 11652 now be applied. The information is from a sensitive source with unique access to a political leader. If released, the information could cause embarrassment to the United States Government and exacerbate relations with a friendly foreign government.

b. During the DDO appeals review, a classification review was conducted. The document is properly classified and properly marked in accordance with the provisions of E.O. 11652, as amended. Due to the sensitivity of the subject matter, a meaningful sanitization cannot be made.

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

-2-
SECRET

SECRET

4. OGC has not yet concurred in these recommendations.

[Redacted]

DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments:

Tab A - References A-D

Tab B - TDCSDB 3/657,851

COORDINATION

C/AF/PLANS

[Redacted]

DATE

28 Jan 77

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

SECRET

Aug 23 10 02 AM '76

Aug 23 1976

Appeal

E 76-124

Information Review Committee
c/o Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Sir:

Under the provisions of Executive Order 11652, I would like to appeal your decision to withhold from declassification Document 2 of HR-76-260.

Since I do not have any idea what is contained in the EDCS document, I can only address myself to the general position that the change of regimes in Portugal and the relinquishment of its African territories may well reduce the sensitivity of this document. Could the document be released in sanitized form?

Thank you for your consideration. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Richard Doyle Mahoney

Richard Doyle Mahoney
John F. Kennedy Library
300 Ipswich Road
Waltham, Mass. 02154

SECRET
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

National Archives and Records Service

Washington, DC 20408



In reply refer to:

NLK-76-321

MAY 10 1976

Mr. Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, DC 20505

E 76-150

206
E

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Under the provisions of Section 5(C), Executive Order 11652, a researcher has requested the mandatory classification review of the enclosed document(s) from the holdings of one of the Presidential libraries. Enclosed are copies of the researcher's request and a document list. The identification of the library file which contains the document(s) is given in the document list. If there is any question concerning this request, do not hesitate to contact us.

Please review the document(s) and if they may be declassified, mark them appropriately. If a document should remain classified in the interest of national security, please provide us with the applicable exemption category from Section 5(B) of the Executive order and with a date or event when automatic declassification may be accomplished. We would appreciate return of the document copies with your response.

Sincerely,

[Redacted Signature]

Director
Records Declassification Division

Enclosures

MAY 19 1 24 PM '76

SECRET

UNCLASSIFIED UPON REMOVAL OF ENCLOSURES



FOR MANDATORY REVIEW OF CLASSIFIED MATERIAL IN
BODY OF THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE

1/8/76

Date of Request

Name of Depository: JOHN F. KENNEDY LIBRARY

Address: 330 TRAPELO ROAD

WALTHAM, MA 02154

Phone #: 617-223-7250

I hereby request mandatory review of classified
material (see attached list) in the records/document collections
of

NATIONAL SECURITY FILES
(record group or collection)

In accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11652,
Section 5 (c).

Name of Requestor: Richard Doyle Mahoney

Address: 4019 S. Mill Ave.

Tempe, Arizona 85282

Phone #: _____

Office: CIA

Case #: NS-75-341

SUMMARY	Documents	Pages
CONFIDENTIAL	1	5
SECRET	2	13
TOP SECRET		
Unmarked (but possibly classified)		
Total Classified	3	18
Unclassified Attachments (for information only)		

Date	Description (Identification, subject)	Pages	Classification
1/13/63	SC No. 00609/63B: "Significance of FEC-African Association Agreement"	7	S
1/19/63	TOS 00-3/636,710: "Subject: Comments of French Officials on French Activities in Black Africa"	6	S
1/23/63	OCT No. 2375/63: "Subject: African Reactions to US Positions in Security Council Debates on Portuguese Territories and South Africa"	5	C

For archivist: Documents from NSF:CO:Africa, 8/63-11/63, Box 3

7 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee

ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information

SUBJECT : Executive Order 11652 Appeal--
Richard Doyle Mahoney (E 76-150)

REFERENCE : A. Initial Request from NARS dated
10 May 1976 with attachments.
B. IPS Reply to NARS dated 24 June
1976.
C. Appeal Letter, 23 September 1976.

1. Summary of Recommendations: Sustain the initial determination to deny in toto TDCSDB-3/656,740, an intelligence report originated by Paris Station dated 19 September 1955 under exemption 5(B)(2) of Executive Order (E.O.) 11652, and add the claim of 5(B)(5) of E.O. 11652.

2. Background:

a. Richard Doyle Mahoney, a member of the public who is identified as a researcher, initiated on 8 January 1976 through the John F. Kennedy Library a mandatory review of TDCSDB-3/656,740 in accordance with the provisions of E.O. 11652, Section 5(C). The request for review was forwarded to IPS via the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) on 10 May 1976.

b. IPS responded to NARS on 24 June 1976. Among other things, CIA denied in toto TDCSDB-3/656,740, which was Item 9a on the NARS request, on the ground of Section 5(B)(2) of E.O. 11652. The

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

SECRET

reply stated that the document had to remain classified indefinitely in order to protect intelligence sources and methods, and stated that release of segregable portions was not feasible. This was based upon a classification review by a knowledgeable officer [redacted]

[redacted] dated 24 May 1976.

c. Mr. Richard Doyle Mahoney appealed this determination directly to IPS in a letter dated 22 September 1976. He specifically asked if it would not be possible to release a sanitized version of the document without jeopardizing national security.

3. Recommendations:

a. See the Appeals Review Forms for specific detailed recommendations.

b. A second classification review was requested by DDO/ISS/PICG. The second review was conducted on TDCSDB-3/656,740 on 22 December 1976 by Europe Division, which decided to retain the classification at Secret in accordance with E.O. 11652. Europe Division determined after review that there were foreign policy and liaison sensitivities which precluded downgrading TDCSDB-3/656,740, or sterilizing the document and releasing portions thereof, at this time. [redacted]

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

-2-

SECRET

c. Europe Division determined that it was also necessary to protect sources and methods. The source is currently active, and access to information in the document about the French security service was sufficiently limited that current disclosure of that information would in fact be likely to point the finger of suspicion at a relatively small group of potential sources, one of whom is source. Failure to protect this source would in turn jeopardize CIA relations with current and future sources.

d. The undersigned appeals and Litigation Officer agrees with this position.

4. OGC has not yet concurred in these recommendations.

DoD Appeals Officer

Attachments:

Tab A = References A-C

Tab B = Full Text of TDCSDB-3/656,749

COORDINATION:

X EUR/EXO

DATE

7 Jan 77

E-76-150
NLK 76-321

September 22, 1976

LOS/APPEAL
E 76-150

Information Review Committee
c/o Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505


Dear Information Review Committee:

Under the provisions of Executive Order 11652, I would like to appeal the CIA's decision to exempt document 2a or any of its portions thereof from being declassified. NLK - 76 - 311.

As the Agency has done with some many of my declassification requests, would it not be possible to release a sanitized version of the document without jeopardizing national security?

I look forward to receiving your reply and thank you for your consideration of this and previous appeals.

Sincerely,



Richard Doyle Mahoney
John F. Kennedy Library
330 Trapelo Road
Waltham, Mass. 02154

SEP 28 1976

S-25331

8/10/61

14 Sept 61

3-0350

CONFIDENTIAL

ST LOUIS OFFICE RELEASE

FROM SUBJECT (SECRET)

SUBJECT: IS-7833

RE: IS-61-73


WE HAVE CHECKED THE OFFICE FILE AND COVER SHEET, IN/P,
THE OFFICE OF SECURITY AND HAVE DETERMINED NEGATIVE. NONE
OF THESE OFFICES HAS ANY INFORMATION ON THE AMERICAN VOLUNTEERS
ORGANIZATION OFFICE.

S-25331

SEARCHED
INDEXED
SERIALIZED
FILED
SEP 11 1961
FBI - ST. LOUIS

9

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

76-007

31 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT :

[REDACTED]

REFERENCE :

F75-4057

The FBI forwarded for our review two documents [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Release of these documents
is denied under (b)(1)(b), (b)(3)(a) and (b)(6), FOIA.
(CSCI-316/02690-70 undated and CSCI-316/00515 dated April 1971.)

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

F2 IMPDET
CL BY 0012170

CONFIDENTIAL

Administrative - Internal Use Only

4 November 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff


SUBJECT:

REFERENCE: Request No. 75-4057

This Directorate does maintain an official file on Subject. A review of this file and other records of this Directorate reveal the following information identifiable with requester.

[Redacted content]

Administrative - Internal Use Only



b. One INS report [redacted]

[redacted] A copy of this document is attached for referral to the Immigration and Naturalization Service so they can correspond directly with the requester.

c. Three documents originating with this Agency, of which sanitized versions are attached. Further descriptions are as follows:

(1). Name Trace Request dated 12 November 1968, exempt per (b)(3)(a)(sources and methods), (b)(6)(privacy) and (b)(1)(properly classified) of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.

(2). Memorandum dated 21 November 1968, exempt per (b)(3)(b)(CIA Act of 1949) and (b)(1)(properly classified) of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.

(3). Cable dated 20 February 1970, exempt per (b)(3)(b)(CIA Act of 1949) and (b)(1)(properly classified) of the Freedom of Information Act, as amended.

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

Administrative - Internal Use Only

JUL 28 7 27 AM '75

22 July, 1975

4401 Pine St.
Philadelphia, Pa. 19104

Robert S. Young
Coordinator, Freedom of Information Act
CIA
Washington, D.C. 20505

IRS 75-4057

Dear Robert Young

I am writing pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. Sec. 552, et seq., to request that you provide me with any and all records, documents, memoranda, letters and any information of any type or kind and in any form concerning me that is on file, in the possession of, accessible to, or maintained by your agency. If for any reason you decide not to forward to me any of the information that I have hereby requested, I further request that you identify the nature of the information withheld and the reasons for your action.

I ask you to waive any fees according to the Act which permits it when the request "is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the public." I think this is the case.

As provided in the amended Act, I expect to receive a reply within 10 working days.

Sincerely,

[Redacted signature box]

SECRET

17 November 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee
ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information
SUBJECT : FOIA Appeal [REDACTED]
REFERENCES : A. Initial Request dated 22 July 1975.
B. IPS Reply dated 19 March 1976.
C. Appeal Letter Received 26 April 1976.

1. Summary of Recommendations:

- a. Sustain initial determination to deny in toto to requester two DDO originated documents under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(6) of FOIA. Remove (b)(6) from one document; add exemption (b)(2) to both documents. (Tabs B-5 and B-6).
- b. Release additional information in three DDO originated documents previously released in sanitized form--excised portions exempted under (b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), and (b)(6) of the FOIA, as appropriate. (Tabs B-1 thru B-3).
- c. Correct the names of the deciding officials in the appeal response to requester.
- d. Advise requester that document B-5 supercedes document B-6 and contains the exact same information.
- e. IPS advise FBI that document B-5 supercedes document B-6 and that the latter should be destroyed to avoid duplicate referrals in the future.
- f. Correct dates on documents B-5 and 6.

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

SECRET

SECRET

2. Background:

a. On 22 July 1975, [redacted] wrote to CIA and requested all and any information concerning her maintained by CIA under the FOIA. She provided some biographic information and asked that any fees be waived.

b. On 15 December 1975, IPS/DDA advised DDO that two documents [redacted] had been referred to CIA by the FBI.

c. On 19 March 1976, IPS wrote to [redacted] and enclosed sanitized versions of four documents, three of which were DDO documents. Deletions had been made under (b)(1), (b)(3), and (b)(6) of the FOIA. Two additional DDO documents (those referred by the FBI) were denied in toto under the same exemptions. The exemptions were explained, the denying officials were identified by name, and [redacted] was advised of her right to appeal. [redacted] was also advised that FBI and INS originated material was being referred to the FBI and INS for their direct response to her request. [N.B. When IPS listed the four documents they erroneously listed the Deputy Director of Security as denying document 1 and Chief, Services Staff as responsible for documents 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. In fact, the Deputy Director of Security was the denying official of document 4 and Chief, Services Staff for documents 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6. OGC should point out and correct this error in their letter to requester].

d. In an undated letter received by CIA on 26 April 1976, [redacted] appealed the decisions pursuant to subsection (a)(6) of the FOIA.

3. Recommendations:

a. Tabs B-1 thru B-3, B-5, and B-6 contain the documents constituting the DDO appeals review. Please refer to these tabs for my recommendations concerning individual documents.

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

SECRET

b. As stated in Paragraph 2c above, I recommend that OGC, in their letter to requester, correct the previous error in identifying the deciding officials.

c. Document B-6 is a CSCF that transmits an accumulative machine listing to the FBI. This document is updated periodically and previous listings should be destroyed. Document B-5 is the updated and final machine listing for 1970 and supercedes document B-6 which should have been destroyed. I recommend that OGC advise requester that document B-5 supercedes document B-6 and contained the exact same information. I further recommend that IPS draw this matter to the attention of the FBI so future duplicate referrals may be avoided.

d. A classification review of the five documents listed in Tab B has been conducted. The documents are properly marked and properly classified in accordance with Executive Order 11652, as amended. All non-exempt information has been identified and recommended for release to requester.

e. The FBI and INS documents pertaining to Subject located in DDO files have been referred in writing to the respective agencies for their direct response to requester. (See Tab C).

4. OGC has concurred in these recommendations.

DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments:


- Tab A = References A-C
- Tab B = Documents B1, B2, B3, B5, and B6
- Tab C = NIS & FBI Referral Letters

- 3 -
SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

Working Copy

207-1111-1




Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Gene Wilson,

On 23 March, 1976 I received some material on myself from your files which only partially answered my request for information. Your letter indicated that an appeal should be directed to you. This letter constitutes that appeal pursuant to subsection (a) (6) of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552).

As provided for in the Act, I will expect to receive a reply within 20 working days.

Sincerely,



APR 26 7 55 AM '76

CONFIDENTIAL

18 April 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff
FROM :
 Chief, ISS/Policy and Information
 Coordination Group
SUBJECT : American Volunteer Group; American
 Voluntary Guerrillas; Alternate
 Voluntary Government; requested by
 Terry Catchpole
REFERENCE : Request No. F-77-147


Subject organizations have been checked with all components that might have an interest or a record. The search revealed no records in this Directorate pertaining to subject organizations.

JS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

F-77-147 JE (pls ack)



919 North Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60611
312 642-0800

March 7, 1977

Log/E
cy sent
A/DCI

DDO
OS
OCR?

Freedom of Information Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C.

Dear Sir,

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act as amended (5U.S.C. Section 552).

Briefly, my request is for information that may be in your files pertaining to a U.S. paramilitary organization known variously as the American Volunteer Group, American Voluntary Guerrillas or Alternate Voluntary Government (all AVG).

As you know, the amended Freedom of Information Act provides that if some parts of a file are exempt from release, "reasonably segregable" portions shall be provided. I therefore request that, if you determine that some of the requested information is exempt, you provide me immediately with the information that can be made available. Of course, I reserve my right to appeal any such decisions pertaining to "exempt" material.

I am prepared to pay reasonable costs for locating this information.

Cordially,
Terry Catchpole
Terry Catchpole,
Managing Editor

LL HV
Mar 15 1977

CONFIDENTIAL

10 May 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff
FROM : [REDACTED] Chief, Policy and Information Coordination Group
SUBJECT : Peter A. Schey Request - Ku Klux Klan, et al,
at Camp Pendleton, California
REFERENCE : F 77-025

The files of this Directorate have been searched with regard to the listed organizations' connection with Camp Pendleton, California and the result is negative for each organization.

[REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIAL

200
E. L. IMPDET CL BY *ELI/70*

F-77-025 - EK
Pls acknowledge

LAW OFFICES OF
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO, INC.

SOUTHEAST OFFICE
3040 IMPERIAL AVENUE
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92102
(714) 239-9137

by sent A/DCT

January 13, 1977.

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
Fort George G. Meade
Md. 20755

Working Copy

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
Washington D.C. 20350
Attn. OP-09B18

DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE
Ds4A
Washington D.C. 20301

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
Attn. Staff Secretary
Old Executive Office Building
Washington D.C. 20506

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9th and Penn Ave. NW
Washington D.C. 20535

DIRECTOR
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Attn: SC (FOIA)
Washington D.C. 20301

DIRECTORATE FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Public Affairs)
Room 2C757. Pentagon,
Washington D.C. 20301

CIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COORDINATOR
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Washington D.C. 20505

RE: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act as amended (5 U.S.C. §552).

JAN 17 4 35 PM '77

Page 2 (continued)

I am writing this letter on behalf of myself and [redacted] and Reporter Publications.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, 88 Stat. 1561, we wish to obtain access to and the opportunity to copy the following documents:

1. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the formation, existence, activities, operations, membership, size or presence of any of the following organizations at Camp Pendleton Marine Base, California:

- a. Ku Klux Klan;
- b. California Ku Klux Klan;
- c. United Klans;
- d. Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- e. United Klans of America;
- f. Southern Ku Klux Klan;
- g. White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- h. White Brotherhood;
- i. United Klans of America Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- j. Minutemen;
- k. Secret Army Organization;
- l. Christian Crusade Church;
- m. American Rangers;
- n. Invisible Empire of the Ku Klux Klan;
- o. Christian Defense League;
- p. The National States Rights Party;
- q. California Anti-Communist League;
- r. Christian National Alliance;
- s. American Volunteers Group;
- t. Provisional National Government of the U.S.A.;
- u. American Nazi Party;
- v. National Socialist White Peoples Party;
- w. Legion of Justice;
- x. Operation Unicorn-And other similar groups.

2. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies, or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the foundation, existence, activities, operations, size, membership or presence of any of the organizations named in No. 1 above in the United States Marine Corp.

3. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to racism at Camp Pendleton Marine Base.

Page 3 (continued)

4. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to racism in the United States Marine Corp.

Since none of the statutory exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act's mandatory disclosure provision applies, access to the requested records should be granted within ten (10) working days.


In the unlikely event, however, that access is denied to any part of the requested records, please describe the deleted material in detail and specify the statutory basis for the denial as well as your reasons for believing that the alleged statutory justification exists in this case. Please also state your reasons for not invoking your discretionary power to release the requested documents in the public interest.

We also request that you waive any applicable fees since disclosure will meet the statutory standard for such waiver in that it would clearly be "in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public". 5 U.S.C.A. §552(a)(4)(A).

Should any procedural problem arise as to this request, please call me (collect)

We await your prompt reply.

Attorney at Law



PAS:pcn.

Subscribed and sworn to before me on January 14, 1977.

Teresa Ortiz
NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

LAW OFFICES OF
LEGAL AID SOCIETY OF SAN DIEGO, INC.

SOUTHEAST OFFICE
3040 IMPERIAL AVENUE
SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92102
(714) 239-9137

January 13, 1977

NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY
Fort George G. Meade
Md. 20755

CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
Washington D.C. 20350
Attn. CP-09B18

COMMANDANT, UNITED STATES MARINE CORP.
Department of the Navy
Washington D.C. 20350

DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE
DS4A
Washington D.C. 20301

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL
Attn. Staff Secretary
Old Executive Office Building
Washington D.C. 20506

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
9th and Penn. Ave. NW
Washington D.C. 20535

DIRECTOR
DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Attn: SC (FOIA)
Washington D.C. 20301

DIRECTORATE FOR FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense
(Public Affairs)
Room 2C757, Pentagon,
Washington DC 20301

CIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION COORDINATOR
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY
Washington D.C. 20505

RE: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN:

This letter is written on behalf of the following persons and

organizations:

William Bishop, 6202 Capestone, Dallas, Texas [redacted]
[redacted]

Gregory Coffee, 1521 Wellstone Place, St. Louis, Mo. [redacted]
[redacted]

Eddie Page, Jr., Bay Springs, Mississippi, [redacted]
[redacted]

D.R. Hunter, 2715 Drewry Lane, North Carolina, [redacted]
[redacted]

Ricky McGilvery, 6202 Capestone, Dallas, Texas [redacted]
[redacted]

William Spencer, 511 Henry Place, High Point, N.C. [redacted]
[redacted]

H. Fletcher, 2222 Superior, Detroit, Mich., [redacted]
[redacted]

Clarence Capers, 209 Powder Mill Lane, Edgewater Park, Phil.
[redacted]

Anthony Matthews, 3904 E. 155th, Cleveland, Ohio, [redacted]
[redacted]

Dr. Carlton Goodlett, President, National Newspaper Publishers
Association - Black Press of America, 1366 Turk Street, San
Francisco, CA 94115.

Reporter Publications, 1366 Turk Street, San Francisco, CA 94115.

Military Law Office, National Lawyers Guild, San Francisco, CA.

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. §552, as
amended by Pub. L. 93-502, 88 Stat. 1561, they wish to obtain
access to and the opportunity to copy the following documents:

I. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports,
investigations, studies or other records, including written
memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the
formation, existence, activities, operations, membership, size
or presence of any of the following organizations at Camp
Pendleton Marine Base, California:

- a. Ku Klux Klan;
- b. California Ku Klux Klan;
- c. United Klans;
- d. Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- e. United Klans of America;
- f. Southern Ku Klux Klan;
- g. White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- h. White Brotherhood;
- i. United Klans of America Knights of the Ku Klux Klan;
- j. Minutemen;
- k. Secret Army Organization;
- l. Christian Crusade Church;
- m. American Rangers;
- n. Invisibile Empire of the Ku Klux Klan;
- o. Christian Defense League;

Page 3. (continued)

- p. The National States Rights Party;
- q. California Anti-Communist League;
- r. Christian National Alliance;
- s. American Volunteers Group;
- t. Provisional National Government of the U.S.A.;
- u. American Nazi Party;
- v. National Socialist White Peoples Party;
- w. Legion of Justice;
- x. Operation Unicorn-And other similar groups.

2. All directives, memoranda, letters, orders, reports, investigations, studies, or other records, including written memoranda of telephone conversations, which relate to the foundation, existence, activities, operations, size, membership or presence of any of the organizations named in No. 1 above in the United States Marine Corp.

3. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to rascism at Camp Pendleton Marine Base.

4. All directives, letters, memoranda, orders, reports, investigations or other records which describe, analyze or otherwise relate to rascism in the United States Marine Corp.

Since none of the statutory exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act's mandatory disclosure provision applies, access to the requested records should be granted within ten (10) working days.

In the unlikely event, however, that access is denied to any part of the requested records, please describe the deleted material in detail and specify the statutory basis for the denial as well as your reasons for believing that the alleged statutory justification exists in this case. Please also state your reasons for not invoking your discretionary power to release the requested documents in the public interest.

We also request that you waive any applicable fees since disclosure will meet the statutory standard for such waiver in that it would clearly be "in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public". 5 U.S.C.A. §552(a)(4)(A).

Should any procedural problem arise as to this request, please call me (collect) [redacted]

We await your prompt reply.

[redacted]
Attorney at Law [redacted]

10 March 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: A. Request No. 75-4508
B. OS Referral dated 8 December 1975

1. This Directorate maintains a file on [REDACTED]. The following paragraphs describe the results of a review of this file and the other record holdings of this Directorate.

2. There are six FBI documents identifiable to [REDACTED]. Attached is a list of the descriptive data for these documents that should be referred to the FBI for their determination.

3. The following documents may not be passed to [REDACTED].

<u>Document and Date</u>	<u>Exemption</u>
1 Cable, 12 July 1970	(b)(1)(liaison), (b)(3), (b)(6)
2 Dispatch, 28 July 1970	" " " "
3 Dispatch, 6 August 1970	" " " "
4 Cable, 20 February 1970	" " " "
5 Dispatch, 13 May 1970	" " " "
6 Cable, 16 May 1972	(b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6)

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

4. The document referred to this Directorate by the Office of Security should be returned to them for their review. The document concerned is a list of names of people [redacted] dated 18 May 1973. For further guidance on this list, please see DDO/PIC memorandum, dated 5 March 1976 [redacted]

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

Attachment: A/S

cc: OPS/ITG
LA

14-00000
REC 6 3 01 PM '75
Mr. Robert S. Young
Freedom of Information Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington DC 20505

IRS 75-4508

Dear Mr. Young,

Under the provisions of 5 USC 552, the Freedom of Information Act, I request access to, and copies of all files and documents maintained by the CIA relating to myself.

The material I seek includes, but is not limited to, dossiers, card files, microfilm files, microfiche files, and all computerized data and references maintained by the CIA. This request also encompasses any photographs and fingerprint files which you may have.

If, perchance, you rule that portions of these files are "reasonably segregable" I request copies of the remaining material and reserve my right to appeal any such deletions.

If, perchance, this request is denied in whole or in part, please inform me of the appropriate appeals procedure.

If deletions are made, please cite the statutory justification for each deleted item.

I am submitting my full name, date and place of birth and Social Security Number. I am prepared to pay a reasonable fee for the reproduction of this material.

As required by 5 USC 552, I expect to hear from you within 10 working days.

Sincerely yours,

CONFIDENTIAL

5 March 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff
SUBJECT: Documents Relating to the Venceremos Brigade

1. This Directorate is unable to locate or to acknowledge origin of the following reports contained in the files of the Office of Security:

a. [redacted] a Report - 31
May 1970.

b. List of names of People [redacted]
[redacted] dated 18 May 1963. We therefore decline to rule on the releasability of these two documents on FOIA and PA requests, and ask that these documents not be referred to us for action.

2. From the portions forwarded in connection with specific requests, there would appear to be no reason for denying the information. We defer, however, to OS for a final judgement.

[redacted]
DDO/PIC

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

SECRET

27 October 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff (U)
FROM : Robert E. Owen (U)
DDO Information Review Officer
SUBJECT :
REFERENCE : P-77-0140 (U)

(U) A search of the DDO records systems has produced nine documents whose recommended disposition is as follows:

- a. (U) Six documents are denied in toto. (Tab A, Document Nos. 1-6).
- b. (U) Document Nos. 7 and 8 should be referred to the FBI; and Document No. 9 should be referred to the Department of State. (Tab B)

Robert E. Owen (U)

Attachments: a/s
cc: ISS/IPG/SCB (U)


ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY
When Detached from Attachments

SECRET

2 012170

Active Copy


Zog/P
C





Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear People at the C.I.A.,


JAN 27


My name is  I live at





 I am requesting
under the Freedom of Information Act
all information pertaining to me in your
agency files and records.


Yours truly,



I  affirm that all information
provided above pertaining to myself is correct.

On this 4th day of January before
me personally appeared  to me known to
be the individual mentioned in a way ~~and~~ signed
the foregoing letter,  acknowledged to me s/he
executed the same

RICHARD IRELAND, Notary Public
State of New York, Wyoming County
Commission Expires March 30, 1984



CONFIDENTIAL

20 April 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT: [Redacted]

REFERENCE: Request No. F 76-211

1. A review of the files of this Directorate revealed the documents discussed below concerning Subject.
2. Documents which may be released in their entirety consist of twenty-four overt items; copies attached. (Documents 1-24)
3. One document dated July 1971 may not be released: it is exempt under (b)(1) and (b)(3)(1)(a), FOIA. (Document 25)
4. One memorandum dated 8 November ¹⁹⁶⁷ 1976 (Document 26) consists entirely of a direct quote from an FBI report dated 30 May 1967, Washington, D. C.: Subject: Protest Activity in Washington, D. C., [Redacted] Beginning May 26, 1967; no file. This report should be referred to the FBI for release. *to capture of this*
5. In addition there are eighty-seven other FBI documents which are not being listed since your office has advised that the FBI also has a request on Subject. (Documents 27-114.)

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

Attachments: A/S

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

Congressional Record for John Irvin Jr. [Redacted] presided no contact about 24 minutes

Robert A. Young
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

F 76-211

Dear Sir:

This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, et. seq., on behalf of [redacted]. We, the undersigned, were the authorized officers at the time of the dissolution of the organization.

We request that you provide us with any and all records, documents, memoranda, letters, or any other type of kind of information concerning our group that is currently on file, in possession of, or maintained by your agency.

[redacted]

If for any reason you determine not to forward to us any of the information that we have hereby requested, we further request that you identify the nature of the information withheld and the reasons for your actions.

As you know, the amended Act permits you to reduce or waive the fees if that "is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the public." We believe that this request plainly fits that category and ask you to waive any fees.

As provided for in the amended Act, we will expect to receive a reply within 10 days.

Sincerely,

MAR 29 8 19 AM '76

[redacted]

CONFIDENTIAL

23 June 1976

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff
FROM : Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

SUBJECT

[REDACTED]

REFERENCE : A. OS Referral
B. Request No. F 76-211

The dispatch dated 18 April 1968, referred to this Directorate by the Office of Security has been reviewed. Release of the document [REDACTED] is denied under exemptions (b)(1) and (b)(3) of the FOIA.

cc: Charles A. Briggs
Charles A. Briggs

CONFIDENTIAL

2 IMPDET CL BY 612100

SECRET

29 September 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee (U)
ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information (U)
SUBJECT : FOIA Appeal [REDACTED]
(IPS F 76-211) (U)
REFERENCES : A. Initial Request letter, 22 March 1976 (U)
B. IPS Response, 20 July 1976 (U)
C. Appeal Letter, 23 August 1976 (U)

1. (U) Summary of Recommendations:

a. Sustain initial denial in toto of two DDO documents under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6), as applicable. (Tabs 3 and 4).

b. Release portions of ten DDO documents found during the appeals review, citing exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6), as applicable, for the deletions. (Tabs 5-14).

c. Deny in toto one DDO document located during the appeals review, citing exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) as the justification. (Tab 15).

d. Refer a list of 88 FBI Documents to the FBI for review and direct response. (Tab 16).

e. Refer one Department of the Army document to them for review and direct response. (Tab 17).

f. Five NSA-originated items have been referred to NSA for review. (Tab 18).

CLASSIFIED BY 012122

CONFIDENTIAL When
Detached From
Attachments

SECRET

SECRET

-2-

2. (U) Background:

a. Subject submitted a request under FOIA, on 22 March 1976, for any and all information the Agency

[redacted]
In the 20 July 1976 initial response, 24 newspaper clippings and one leaflet were released to him; two documents were denied.

b. On 23 August 1976 Subject appealed, requesting that further information be released to him on the group.

c. During the appeals review 11 additional documents were located and are included in the recommendations below. These documents were not considered during the initial review since they were not considered to meet the criteria for "records" extant at that time and they contained only incidental references to the subject of the request.

d. During the appeals review we were unable to identify any Air Force documents concerning the subject of this request. The requester should be told that this was apparently an error.

e. During the appeals review we were unable to identify any Department of State documents [redacted]
[redacted] Two State documents located, and possibly referred to in the initial response, do not concern [redacted]. The requestor should be informed that this was also apparently an error.

3. (C) Recommendations:

a. My specific recommendations are shown on the Appeals Review Form attached to each document. A classification review has been conducted and all documents are considered properly classified and marked in accordance with E.O. 11652, as amended.

b. I recommend that the two documents denied in toto under the initial review continue to be denied. One of them (Tab 3) could identify a still active and sensitive source. The other (Tab 4) was obtained through a sensitive operation conducted jointly by American and German authorities.

SECRET

SECRET

c. I recommend that portions of ten additional documents located during the appeals review be released. Exceptions (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) should be cited, as applicable, to the denied portions. Specific recommendations on each of these documents is reflected in the attached Appeals Review Forms. (Tabs 5-14).

d. One additional document located during the appeals review should be denied in toto, since it was received from a foreign liaison service. (Tab 15).

e. 88 FBI documents located during the initial review, but not referred to the FBI, should be referred to the FBI in writing for review and direct response. These documents are listed under Tab 16.

f. One Department of the Army document should be referred in writing to them for review and direct response. The title page and a description of the document are attached as Tab 17.

g. Five items from NSA have been referred to them by IFS for review. (Tab 18).

h. Several other documents turned up during both the initial review and the appeals review should be considered not responsive to the request since they refer to the generic term [redacted] but not to [redacted] as specified in the request. (Tab 19).

4. (U) [redacted] OGC has concurred in the above recommendations.

Richard D. Wagner
Richard D. Wagner
DDO Appeals Officer (U)

Attachments:
Tab A - Initial Letter
Tab B - IFS Response
Tab C - Appeal Letter
Tabs 3-19 - See Review Forms

COORDINATION

EA/OEM/FOI

ISS/IPG/SCR

EUR/EXO

DATE

30/9/77

30/9/77

3 Oct 77

SECRET

16 May 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information Review Staff

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: Request No. 75-301

1. This Directorate maintains a personality dossier [REDACTED]. A review of this file and other records of this Directorate reveal the following information pertinent to Subject:

a. A few FBI documents, which are excluded under 1900.3 (g)(4) of the Federal Register. The office that maintains the information on Subject is unable to further identify these documents.

b. Overt information provided by a joint Department of the Army and foreign liaison operation abroad. This material is considered exempt under 5B (1) of E.O. 11652 and 1900.3 (g)(4) and (3) of the Federal Register.

c. Two Agency dispatches based on information from unilateral and liaison sources abroad concerned Subject's travel [REDACTED]. This material is considered exempt under (b)(5) of FOIA and 5B (1) of E.O. 11652.

d. One memorandum to the FBI reported Subject's travel as mentioned in paragraph 1.c. above. This material is considered exempt for reason stated in paragraph 1.c. above.


e. Form 3533 is attached.

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

Attachment:
Form 3533

CONFIDENTIAL

LOV





Freedom of Information Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Gentlemen:

The Office of the Deputy Attorney General referred me to you. I formally request to see any and all information the CIA has about me. My name is [redacted] I was born [redacted]

Thank you



APR 8 1 53 PM '75

SECRET

30 June 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee

ATTENTION: Mr. H.G. Baan, Executive Secretary

SUBJECT: FOIA Appeal - Phillip A. Singerman

REFERENCES:

- a. Request [redacted] dated 1 April 1975
- b. OPS/ITG Memo dated 14 May 1975
- c. DO/BS #75-340 dated 10 May 1975
- d. IRS 75-801 dated 30 May 1975
- e. Appeal [redacted] dated 26 June 1975
- f. OPS/ITG Memo dated 15 July 1975

1. The initial request and subsequent appeal by [redacted] the Agency's correspondence to him, and the documents that pertain [redacted] have been reviewed and the documents involved are as follows:

- a. B-561, 11 August 1969
- b. HDMA-37509, 10 July 1969
- c. HDMA-37416, 23 June 1969
- d. Headquarters 876, 24 June 1969
- e. MEXICO CITY 329, 23 June 1969
- f. MEXICO CITY 330, 24 June 1969
- g. EX-3663, 24 October 1969
- h. TM-559888, 28 August 1969

2. Items a., b., and c. from the list in paragraph 1. were the basis of the initial response and denial [redacted] (Reference d.). Items d. and e. do not mention [redacted] by name but are relative and are included for background information. The documents f., g. and h. did not become available until after the initial response [redacted] and therefore were not considered in that reply.

3.- On the basis of our review, we believe items a., b. and c. were properly exempted in accord with (b)(1) and (b)(3) of the FOIA and 53(1) of E.O. 11652. This was reported [redacted] in Reference d. on 30 May 1975.

Administrative-Internal Use Only
When Separated from Attachments

SECRET

Despite the detailed explanation provided [redacted] we note in his letter of appeal that he continues to seek a basis for the decision. With regard to these documents, we believe and agree that releasing them [redacted] would violate protection of sensitive sources and methods. The original denial is correct and should be upheld by the Information Review Committee.

4. Our review of the remaining three documents (items f., g. and h.) has resulted in the following recommendations.

a. 11F-559883. A passenger list which the Agency apparently obtained through foreign liaison [redacted] The nature of the information [redacted] does not permit segregating and releasing parts of the list [redacted] We believe the document is exempt under (b)(1), (b)(3) of the FOIA and 5B(1) of E.O. 11652.

b. MEXICO CITY 330. Provides the same information as RUSA-37509 and is, therefore, exempt under the same exemptions cited in the original denial, i.e., (b)(1), (b)(3) and 5B(1).

c. EX-3663. We believe certain parts of this 25-page document can be segregated and released [redacted] We agree with ITC on the selected portions that should be extracted for release (see attached marked copy). The balance of the document, however, must be considered exempt under (b)(3)(a) and (b)(6) of the FOIA.

5. In summary, it is recommended that the appeal be denied and the exemptions upheld on all the related documents as listed above except in the case of EX-3663. The selected portions should be sanitized and provided to [redacted] This recommendation has been discussed with [redacted] the OGC and [redacted] concurs with our decision.

(5)
[redacted]
DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments: s/s

ISG/EXEC [redacted]
30 July 1975

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee
1 - OGC (Mr. Makowka)
1 - C/IRS
2 - DDO/FIO
1 - ISG, w/o atts.
1 - Chrono, w/o atts.

15 JUL 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Freedom of Information Officer, DDO

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: IRS 75-801 (Appeal)
Request for Agency documents

1. Attached are the three Agency documents listed in our memorandum of 14 May 1975:

a. Agency dispatch INMA-57416, dated 23 June 1969. This covering dispatch does not mention Subject by name; Subject's [REDACTED] was forwarded as an attachment to this dispatch. Two other attachments listing Subject's name [REDACTED] but the reference to his travel [REDACTED] had been incorporated into a Special Channel memorandum to the FBI, B-561, dated 11 August 1969 (paragraph 1d of our 14 May memorandum).

b. Agency dispatch INMA-57509, dated 10 July 1969 listed requestor as a traveler [REDACTED]. It also forwarded a copy [REDACTED] in an attachment which is not available here.


c. Special Channel Memorandum B-561, dated 11 August 1969 (see paragraph 1a above).

2. We believe that the above mentioned documents have been properly exempted in our 14 May memorandum under (b)(1) 5(B)(1) (foreign liaison, in this case, two sections of [REDACTED] liaison) and (b)(3). The material is not segregable for release, as the nature of the information and its format are such that the requestor could determine that the information concerning him was obtained by the Agency through surreptitious access [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Release would thus violate protection of sensitive sources and methods [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

3. One more document (not indexed into the 201 file or any other records of this office) has become available: Transmittal Manifest 599888, dated 28 August 1969. [redacted] This manifest lists Subject among passengers arriving [redacted]. No covering dispatch was used and no source is given, but the information may be presumed to have been provided by foreign liaison [redacted]. The material is thus probably exempt under (b)(1), 5(R)(1) (foreign liaison), and is certainly exempt under (b)(3) (intelligence methods). The format and the nature of the information do not make it feasible to segregate and release it [redacted]

4. Attached is a segregable portion of internal Agency memorandum EX-3663, dated 24 October 1969. This document is a 25 page paper [redacted] and refers briefly to requestor, repeating in part the reference to requestor's travel as reported in HMMA-37509 (paragraph 1b above). [redacted] The excised portion of the document is considered exempt under (b)(3)(a) and (b)(6).


5. Also attached are copies of three additional Agency cables. [redacted] dated 24 June 1969, is referred to in HMMA-37509 (paragraph 1b above), and provides the same information (except for copies of passports). The reference cited in this cable, in turn, is Headquarters 876, dated 24 June 1969, which requested that names and passports of groups traveling [redacted] as the information was urgently needed for a paper being prepared for the White House. This cable in turn refers to [redacted] dated 23 June 1969, which explains the reason for the investigation of requestor's foreign travels. Although Headquarters 876 and [redacted] do not mention requestor by name they are attached for background information.

[redacted]
Chief, International Terrorism Group
Operations Staff

Attachments: a/s

CONFIDENTIAL

JUL 1 6 51 PM '75





Mr. Robert W. Young
CIA Information Review Committee
CIA
Washington, D.C. 20305

Dear Sir:

This is in response to your letter of May 30 denying release of three documents in your files about my foreign travel.

I hereby appeal this decision. I would like to know the basis for this decision. I wish to advise you that I am seeking the advice of counsel.

Sincerely,



CONFIDENTIAL

12 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: Request No. 75-1161

1. This Directorate maintains an official file on Subject. A review of this file and other records reveals the following information pertinent to Subject:

a. Twenty-nine FBI documents. See attached listing.

b. Five Agency documents (one cable and three dispatches) concerned Subject's travel and activities abroad and transmitted information [REDACTED]. One special channel (B) memorandum forwarded material provided [REDACTED] to the FBI. All of this material is considered exempt under FOIA, (b)(1), [REDACTED] and (b)(3).

c. Five Agency documents (one cable, three dispatches, and one field information report) referred to Subject's travels and activities abroad. The nature of the information could identify the source if it were released. One RL memorandum, one special channel (B) memorandum and one CSCI memorandum forwarded this information to the FBI. One CSCI memorandum forwarded a report to the U. S. Intelligence Community. All of this material is considered exempt under (b)(3), sources and methods and (b)(1) properly classified.

d. One agency dispatch forwarded information on Subject's activities abroad provided by a U. S. [REDACTED] source. This material is exempt under FOIA (b)(1) properly classified and (b)(3), sources and methods.

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

e. Four internal office memoranda (EX) pertained to Subject's travels, contacts and activities. The source of three of these was information from the FBI; the source of one of these was the Department of State memorandum to CIA dated 1 February 1971. All of these are exempt under FOIA (b) (3), sources and methods, and (b) (1).

f. One internal office memorandum contains a listing of Subject's foreign travel. It was taken from a composite of all-source information, including foreign [redacted] sources and is considered exempt under FOIA (b) (3) and (b) (1).

g. One internal office (EX) memorandum concerning Subject's background and activities, which included material from the FBI, an Agency field information report from a unilateral source and an Agency dispatch forwarding information provided by a [redacted]. This memorandum is considered exempt under FOIA (b) (3) and (b) (1).

2. The following documents with sterilized segregable portions attached are considered releaseable to Subject under the FOIA.

a. CSCI memorandum dated 17 May 1968: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b) (6), personal privacy, (b) (3) and (b) (1).

b. Internal office (EX) memorandum dated 14 July 1967: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b) (6), personal privacy, (b) (3) and (b) (1).

c. Internal office memorandum (EX) dated 26 April 1968: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b) (6) personal privacy, (b) (3) and (b) (1).

d. Internal office memorandum (EX) dated 10 July 1967: excised portions exempt under FOIA (b) (6), personal privacy, (b) (3) and (b) (1).

e. Attachment to a dispatch dated 23 November 1970: excised portions exempt under (b) (6), personal privacy and (b) (1). Dispatch exempt under (b) (3) sources and methods and (b) (1).

(17)
f. Dispatch dated 15 November 1965; excised portions exempt under FOIA (b)(1) and (b)(3).

5. Attached are copies of three documents which may be used in obtaining reviews by the originating agencies:

a. Department of Army - ACSI-DSCC Memorandum dated 8 July 1966, Subject: Transmittal of Summaries of Information.

b. Department of State telegram Copenhagen 2014 dated 21 November 1967, [redacted]
[redacted]


c. Department of State memorandum dated 1 February 1971 from Director, Passport Office regarding Subject.

d. FBI. Cable from Director, FBI, dated 6 November 1968, Subject: [redacted]
[redacted]

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff


Attachments: A/S


cc: OPS/ITG



①







Mr. Robert S. Young
Freedom of Information Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency, Washington

Dear Mr. Young,

Thank you for your letter of April 24, 1975, acknowledging
my Freedom-of-Information request.

Here is the additional information you ask for:

Place of birth:


Date of birth:

The FBI, in a similar reply to a similar request, also
wanted my Social Security number, which you may also need.

Thank you for your cooperation.


Sincerely,

APR 29 7 50 AM '75





April 11, 1975



Mr. Ebbert S. Young
CIA Freedom of Information Coordinator
CIA Hdqtrs
Langley, Va.

Dear Mr. Young,

Pursuant to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act, please make available to me any materials or files the CIA may have collected and kept on my activities.

Sincerely,



APR 16 4 11 AM '75

02 December 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee (U)
ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information (U)
SUBJECT : FOIA Appeal [redacted] (F 75-1161) (U)
REFERENCES : A. Original Request dated 11 April 1975 (U)
B. IPS Response dated 19 February 1976 (U)
C. Appeal Letter dated 24 February 1976 (U)

1. (U) Summary of Recommendations:

- a. Release additional information from six DDO documents initially released in segregated versions.
- b. Release portions of eight DDO documents initially denied in toto.
- c. Sustain the denial in toto of 13 DDO documents.
- d. Release in toto nine news items and seven DDO documents discovered during the appeals review.
- e. Deny in toto 15 DDO documents discovered during the appeals review.
- f. Release segregated versions of two DDO documents discovered during the appeals review.

2. (U) Background:

- a. [redacted] on 11 April 1975 requested "any material or files the CIA may have collected and kept on my activities." The original DDO search surfaced 27 DDO documents, 29 FBI files, one FBI cable and three other-agency documents. IPS responded on 19 February 1976

releasing six of the DDO documents in segregated versions and denying the remaining 21. IPS advised [redacted] that the non-DDO documents were being referred to the originating agencies for direct response to him.

b. [redacted] appealed on 24 February 1976 requesting full release of all withheld data, and this triggered an additional search with the following results:

(1) Nine press items, not considered under earlier guidelines were located.

(2) As a result of an exchange of referrals between the DDO and the O/S, DDO accepted responsibility for five documents not located during the initial review--i.e. those covered by Tabs 28, 30, 32, 33 and 34.

(3) As a result of a Privacy Act request levied [redacted] on the FBI, the latter referred three DDO memoranda, per P 76-180. Two of these--CSCI 316/02677-67 and CSCI 316/05757-67--had not previously surfaced, but the third had been handled initially under Tab 19(H). We informed the FBI we would handle the former two in the appeal.

(4) In addition to the documents discovered per (2) and (3), 17 DDO documents were located through re-checking files and running down references. These additions have resulted in a total of 51 DDO documents being treated in this appeal, an increase of 24 since the IPS response, and nine press items.

(5) Four EX memoranda--895, 1070, 2187 and 3363-- were referred to the FBI on 5 October 1976 for coordination regarding FBI information therein. The FBI replied on 28 October 1976 regarding portions to be withheld and exemptions to be applied.

(6) EJTA-48,789 dated 6 December 1965 (Tab 20) was referred to the US Air Force on 5 October 1976 for coordination regarding Air Force data therein. The Air Force responded on 29 October 1976 releasing its input.

(7) Three FBI files, in addition to those unearthed during the initial search, were discovered. DRC-02629 and DFB-89223 were referred to the FBI on 5 October 1976 for direct contact with the requester, and DBO-24857 was similarly forwarded on 26 April 1977.

(8) Three State Department documents were forwarded to the Bureau of Public Affairs on 1 October 1976. Two of these were State memoranda addressed to the CIA. Passport called on 26 October 1976 to advise that both had already been referred earlier. The third document--Tab 21(D)--was cleared for release by the same telephone call.

c. A classification review has been conducted on all DFO documents involved in this appeal, and all have been marked to reflect this review. In those cases where segregation of non-exempt data has been possible, such [redacted] has been identified and recommended for release [redacted]. In those cases where the documents have been denied in toto, meaningful segregation of non-exempt data pertaining to him has not been feasible.

3. (U) Recommendations: See Tabs 12-48.


4. (U) This memorandum has as yet not been coordinated with [redacted] the Office of the General Counsel.

[redacted]
DDO Appeals Officer (U)

Attachments:
Tab R -- References
Tabs 12-48 -- As Stated



*Appeal
TR 5-1-61*



Mr. Gene F. Wilson
Information and Privacy Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505


RE: FOIA Appeal

Dear Mr. Wilson,

By letter dated 11 and 26 April 1975, I asked for my file. By letter dated, 19 February 1976, you responded with some of the requested documents. However, some were censored and others were withheld altogether. I hereby appeal these deletions.

I look forward to hearing from you within 20 days, as required by law.

Sincerely,



MAR 1 2 12 PM

CONFIDENTIAL

29 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, IPS
SUBJECT: [REDACTED]
REFERENCE: Request No. 75-4681

1. This Directorate maintains a file [REDACTED]. The following paragraphs describe the documents held by this Directorate which refer to her and her specific association with the [REDACTED] Group.
2. The documents listed below are from the FBI and are exempt per 1900.3(g)(4) of the Federal Register.
 - a. "Committee of Returned Volunteers" [REDACTED] dated 7 July 1969, from Washington, D.C., no file number.
 - b. [REDACTED] Committee of Returned Volunteers (CRV)", dated 27 August 1969, from Washington, D.C., no file number.
 - c. "Committee of Returned Volunteers (CRV) [REDACTED] dated 11 September 1969, from Washington, D.C., no file number.
 - d. [REDACTED] Committee of Returned Volunteers", dated 24 September 1969, [REDACTED] no file number.
 - e. [REDACTED] SM-R11, dated 21 February 1973, from New York, N.Y., file number 100-339235-416.
 - f. "Committee of Returned Volunteers", dated 14 July 1970, [REDACTED] file number 100-45731.
3. There is one Department of State letter, which is a request from the Passport Office for information of a security nature pertinent [REDACTED] dated 1 February 1971, no file number. Although this letter is excluded under 1900.3(g)(4) of the Federal Register, a copy is attached for referral to the State Department.

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

[REDACTED]

4. The following documents may not be passed [REDACTED]

a. One Agency memorandum dated 11 August 1969 and one Agency cable dated 24 June 1969 which contain information [REDACTED] and are exempt per (b)(1), (b)(3)(a) and (b)(3)(b) of the FOIA and 5(b)(1) of E.O. 11652.

b. Two Agency dispatches dated 23 June 1969 and 10 July 1969 which contain information from the same source as in paragraph 4a above. The same exemptions apply.

5. The following three items may be passed [REDACTED] copies are attached for each. In all cases, the excised portions are exempt per (b)(1), (b)(3)(a), (b)(3)(b) and (b)(6) of the FOIA.

a. One Agency memorandum dated 1 December 1971.


b. One Agency dispatch dated 6 December 1971.

c. One Agency dispatch dated 30 November 1971.

6. Form 3533 is also attached.

Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

Attachment:
As Stated Above



August 7, 1975

LOG SEARCHED

Robert Young
Freedom of Information Coordinator
Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Young,

I understand that under the Freedom of Information Act that an organization can seek disclosure of any and all information and documents that the Central Intelligence Agency may have collected pertinent to its activities.

As the president of the now inactive, but still existant [redacted], I would like to request any information and documents that concern the organization.

Also, as an individual I would like to request any information concerning my activities. [redacted]

[redacted] I would appreciate any relevant information that you could send along.

I look forward to your reply [redacted] and well as in regards to myself.

Sincerely, [redacted]



SECRET

19 September 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee (U)
ATTENTION : Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information (U)
SUBJECT : FOIA Appeal [REDACTED]
REFERENCES : A. Initial Request dated 7 August 1975 (U)
B. CIA's Response dated 6 April 1976 (U)
C. Appeal Letter dated 5 May 1976 (U)

1. (U) Summary of Recommendations:

a. Release additional information from three documents initially released in segregated form. Deleted portions are exempted under (b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) of FOIA.

b. Sustain initial denial in toto of four documents under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA as applicable.

c. Release in segregated form twenty-one documents discovered in the appeal process. Deleted portions are exempted under (b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA as applicable.

d. Deny in toto seven documents that were located in the appeal review. These documents are being denied under exemptions (b)(1), (b)(3), (b)(6) and (b)(7)(C) of the FOIA.

e. Pass in toto six overt documents located during the appeal review.

f. Refer to the FBI for their action an identification list of nine additional FBI reports that were located during the appeal review.

g. Refer one document located during the appeal review to the Department of the Army for their action.

CONFIDENTIAL Was
Detached From
Attachments

SECRET

SECRET CL BY 68228

SECRET

2. (U) Background:

a. On 7 August 1975, [redacted] wrote the CIA requesting "...any information and documents that concern..." an organization called the [redacted]. Ms. Barnes explained that the [redacted] is "an inactive, but still existant" research group of which she is the president. [redacted] also stated: "...as an individual I would like to request any information concerning my activities."

b. The 6 April 1976 response [redacted] stated seven DDO documents were being released in sanitized form. This memorandum also stated that FBI and State Department documents had been surfaced in our search and that these were being referred to the originating agency for action. [redacted] was also informed of her right to appeal.

c. On 5 May 1976, [redacted] appealed.

d. At the initial review, the search was limited to information about [redacted] including any association with the [redacted]. During the appeal review the search was broadened to include any information about the [redacted] regardless of [redacted] association with it. This later search located additional documents which are included in this appeal package.

3. (U) Recommendations:

a. Tab A-1 contains nine overt documents that I recommend be released [redacted] in toto.

b. Tab B contains the DDO documents that were considered in this appeal case. The Appeal Review Form attached to each of the documents reflects my recommendations concerning the release of the information in the document. All meaningful, non-exempt information applicable to this request has been identified and is recommended for release. A classification review has been conducted on each of the DDO documents reviewed in this appeal case. A DDO officer with national security classification authority has asserted that each document is properly classified and marked in accordance with E.O. 11652, as amended.

SECRET

SECRET

-3-

c. Tab C contains the identification of nine FBI documents that were surfaced during the appeal search. I recommend this list be referred to the FBI for their action.

d. Tab D contains a document that originated with the Department of the Army. I recommend that it be returned to the Army for their action.

e. Tab E contains a copy of the document that was referred to the Department of State for their action, and a list of the FBI documents that were referred to the FBI for action on 10 March 1976. These documents were surfaced at the initial review search.

f. Tab F contains an FBI document that contained classified information furnished by the CIA. The FBI referred the document to the CIA for review. A full text copy and a copy as approved for release are attached. The two CIA documents that were surfaced by the FBI and forwarded to the CIA for action are included as documents 32 and 33.

4. (U) OGC has not yet concurred in these recommendations.

Helen H. Stilson
Helen H. Stilson (U)
DDO Appeals Officer (U)

Attachments: (U)

- Tab A - References A through C
- Tab A-1 - Documents to be released in toto with appeal package
- Tab B - DDO documents reviewed at appeal level
- Tab C - Documents for referral to FBI
- Tab D - Document to be referred to Department of Army
- Tab E - Documents referred with initial review
- Tab F - FBI Referral

SECRET

May 5th

Gene F. Wilson
CIA Information Review Committee
CIA
Washington, D.C. 20505

Dear Mr. Wilson,

On August 7, 1975, I made a request to the CIA under the Freedom on Information Act for information, and documents [redacted] [redacted] By letter dated April 6, 1976, the agency agreed to release a newspaper clipping and three censored documents. The remainder of the requested documents were withheld.

I hereby appeal the agency's denial of my request for documents on the grounds that the exemptions relied upon do not apply to the requested documents.

In reviewing whether classified information [redacted] [redacted] is still properly classified and therefore exempted from disclosure under subsection (b) (1), you should be aware that the [redacted] formally disbanded three years ago.

In addition, I have reason to believe that the agency's initial reply is incomplete. In particular, the documents released by the agency contain only one publication [redacted]. The documents already released indicate that the agency was at least aware of other [redacted] publications. I hereby request a copy of any catalogue, list or index of these publications.

As to any documents or portion of documents which are withheld after this appeal, I hereby request:

- (a) specification as to whether the material pertains to [redacted] [redacted] or to the [redacted]
- (b) as to material withheld on the basis of exemption (b) (5), names of any other agency which initiated or received copies of inter-agency memoranda; and
- (c) as to the material withheld on the basis of exemption (b) (7) the authority for the law enforcement activities of the Central Intelligence Agency and the function of the investigation.

Sincerely, [redacted]

MAY 11 2 43 PM '76

2 October 75

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Information and Privacy Staff

SUBJECT: [REDACTED]

REFERENCE: Request No. 75-5742


This Directorate has no file on [REDACTED]. Two Agency documents, however, were surfaced. These documents are denied under (b)(1), as being properly classified under E.O. 11652 and (b)(3) (sources and methods) FOIA. Release of the portion concerning Subject would probably identify the source to him. Thus, these documents must be denied in full.

/s/ Charles A. Briggs
Charles A. Briggs
Chief, Services Staff

E2 IMPDET
CL BY 012170

CONFIDENTIAL

SEP 5 1 14 PM '75
125 75-5742



LOG
SERIALIZED

Freedom of Information Act
Robert Young CIA Coordinator
CIA
Washington D. C. 20505



Dear Sirs;

I have reason to believe the CIA may have a record file on me.

In 1961 I was a member of a [redacted] group [redacted]

Since I was a devoted anti-communist at the time and [redacted] never accomplished anything), I'm curious to see if anything is in print regarding my so called 'wild oats' days and under the Freedom of Information Act I hereby respectfully request a copy of any possible files on me.

Respectfully,



SECRET

4 December 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Information Review Committee
ATTENTION: Mr. H. G. Bean, Assistant for Information/DDA
SUBJECT: FOIA Appeal [redacted]
REFERENCES: A. Original Request, dated 1 Sep 75
B. Reply to Subject, dated 29 Oct 75
C. Appeal letter, dated 10 Nov 75

1. Summary: It is recommended that the initial denial as stated in our reply of 29 Oct 75 be affirmed, based on Title 5, U.S.C. 552(b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) as applied to the specific documents mentioned herein.

2. Background:

a. [redacted] initial request was for a copy of any Agency file on him.

b. The original search revealed two documents [redacted] [redacted] was denied the document in toto.

c. [redacted] appeal states that he is perplexed at why the documents were denied him and requests the documents so that he can correct any possible misinformation in the record.

3. The two documents are:

a. Agency dispatch UFGA 2192, 23 Aug 61 [redacted] based on ANCIER/I memo of conversation [redacted] and others who wished to volunteer [redacted] This document is denied under (b)(1) properly classified, (b)(3) protection of sources and methods and (b)(6) protection of the privacy of others. A representative of LA Division does not wish to declassify this dispatch, and I concur.

b. Agency memorandum CSCI 3/767455 dated 4 Oct 61 is a memorandum to the FBI containing the information in UFGA 2192 plus file traces.

[redacted]

This document must be denied to protect the former deep cover asset, AMJHEER/1. This document is denied under (b)(1) proper classification, (b)(3) protection of sources and methods and (b)(6) protection of the privacy of others. A representative of LA Division does not wish to declassify this memorandum, and I concur.

4. Recommendation: It is recommended that the initial denial as stated in our reply of 29 Oct 75 be reaffirmed, based on Title 5, U.S.C. 552(b)(1), (b)(3) and (b)(6) as stated above.

5. OGC has concurred in the above.

DDO Appeals Officer

Attachments:

- A. References
- B. Agency dispatch UFGA 2192, 23 Aug 61
- C. Agency memo CSSI 3/767,435